# REVIEW

# Representation of Primary Plant Odorants in the Antennal Lobe of the Moth *Heliothis virescens* Using Calcium Imaging

# **H.T. Skiri1, C.G. Galizia2,3 and H. Mustaparta1**

1Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Biology, Neuroscience Unit, Olav Kyrres gate 3, NO-7489 Trondheim, Norway, 2Freie Universität Berlin, Institut für Biologie-Neurobiologie, Königin-Luise-Straße 28–30, D-14195 Berlin, Germany and 3University of California, Riverside, Department of Entomology, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

*Correspondence to be sent to: Hanne Therese Skiri, Department of Biology, Neuroscience Unit, Olav Kyrres gate 3, NO-7489 Trondheim, Norway. e-mail: hanne.skiri@bio.ntnu.no*

# **Abstract**

The primary olfactory centre, the antennal lobe of *Heliothis virescens* moths, contains 62 glomeruli which process plant odour information and four male-specific glomeruli which form the macroglomerular complex, involved in processing information about pheromone and interspecific signals. Using calcium imaging, we recorded the spatio-temporal activity pattern of the glomeruli in the anterior antennal lobe during stimulation with odorants produced by plants or insects. Each odorant elicited specific excitatory responses in one or a few glomeruli: the major pheromone component did so exclusively in the large glomerulus of the macroglomerular complex and the plant odours exclusively in the ordinary glomeruli. Eight glomeruli, with corresponding plant odour responses and positions, were identified within each sex. Glomeruli responded specifically to linalool, β-ocimene/β-myrcene or germacrene D/α-farnesene. Responses to two essential plant oils covered the response areas of their major constituents, as well as activating additional glomeruli. Stronger activation in the AL due to increased odour concentration was expressed as increased response strength within the odorant-specific glomeruli as well as recruitment of less sensitive glomeruli.

**Key words**: α-farnesene, germacrene D, glomeruli, linalool, β-ocimene, pheromone

# **Introduction**

It is important for most animals' survival and reproduction to be able to detect chemical cues in the environment. Receptor neurons (RNs) detect airborne molecules and transduce the information from these chemicals into electrical signals that are brought to the first relay station in the brain, the vertebrate olfactory bulb or the insect antennal lobe (AL). Here the axons of the RNs project to glomeruli, which are thought to be functional units (Hildebrand and Shepherd, 1997; Smith and Shepherd, 1999). As in other lepidopteran species, the macroglomerular complex (MGC) in the AL of *Heliothis virescens* males receives information about insect-produced signals (pheromones and interspecific signals), while the ordinary glomeruli in both sexes receive information about plant odours, as shown in previous electrophysiological and optical recordings (Berg *et al.*, 1998; Vickers *et al.*, 1998; Galizia *et al.*, 2000; Stranden

*et al.*, 2003a,b). Whereas these studies showed a functional organization of the MGC, with each glomerulus processing information about one insect-produced compound, less is known about the functional organization of the ordinary glomeruli. Optical recording (e.g. calcium imaging) is a suitable method for studying odour representation in the AL, as shown in the honeybee *Apis mellifera* (Galizia and Menzel, 2001)*.* In the female bee, stimulation with plant odours elicits spatial patterns of activity characteristic for each odour, which are conserved within the species and can be mapped to identified glomeruli. Similar calcium imaging studies have also revealed spatial activity patterns for plant odour quality in *H. virescens* (Galizia *et al.*, 2000) and *Spodoptera littoralis* (Carlsson *et al.*, 2002).

In recent years knowledge of the specificity of plant odour RNs has increased considerably for *H. virescens* and other species by the use of electrophysiology linked to chemical analyses (Blight *et al.*, 1995; Wibe and Mustaparta, 1996; Røstelien *et al.*, 2000a,b; Stensmyr *et al.*, 2001; Barata *et al.*, 2002; Stranden *et al.*, 2002, 2003a,b; Bichão *et al.*, 2003). These studies generally showed a narrow tuning of the RNs. This is in contrast to results obtained by direct stimulation with selected odorants, which have shown broadly tuned olfactory RNs in vertebrates. Broadly tuned RN types were also found in *Drosophila melanogaster*, in addition to some specialized RN types (Smith and Shepherd, 1999; De Bruyne *et al.*, 2001). The narrowly tuned RNs obtained by linking gas chromatography to electrophysiology show overlap of molecular receptive ranges only within the same chemical groups, e.g. within monoterpenes or sesquiterpenes, respectively. So far ∼20 types of plant odour RNs have been identified in *H. virescens* (Røstelien *et al.*, 2000a,b; Stranden *et al.*, 2003a,b; Røstelien *et al.*, unpublished data). They are characterized by strong responses to one or two odorants and weak responses to a few others having similar structures. These odorants are defined as primary and secondary odorants, respectively, for each RN type. With one exception for linalool (3,7-dimethyl-1,6-octadien-3-ol), the molecular receptive ranges of these RNs have not shown any overlap. Among RN types for which the primary and secondary components have been identified are neurons responding best to germacrene D [1,6-cyclodecadiene, 1 methyl-5-methylene-8-(1-methylethyl-, *E*,*E*)], (*E*,*E*)-α-farnesene [(3*E*,6*E*)-3,7,11-trimethyl-1,3,6,10-dodecatetraene], (*E*)-β-ocimene [(3*E*)-3,7-dimethyl-1,3,6-octatriene], geraniol [(2*E*)-3,7-dimethyl-2,6-octadien-1-ol], or (*S*)-(+)-linalool, respectively (Røstelien *et al.*, 2000a,b; Stranden *et al.*, 2003a,b; Røstelien *et al.*, unpublished data). The only two odorants that are found to activate two different RN types are  $(S)$ -(+)-linalool and  $(R)$ -(-)-linalool, both having weak effects on the geraniol RN type, and (*R*)-(–)-linalool, which has a weak effect on the (*S*)-(+)-linalool cell (Stranden *et al.*, 2003b; Røstelien *et al.*, unpublished data). Molecular biological studies of olfactory receptor proteins in *H. virescens* have shown that each receptor subtype appears to be expressed in a distinct population of sensory cells showing no co-expression (Krieger *et al.*, 2002). One would expect that each RN type projects specifically to one or a few glomeruli in the AL in *H. virescens,* similar to what is the case for *D. melanogaster* and in vertebrates (Ressler *et al.*, 1994; Vassar *et al.*, 1994; Mombaerts *et al.*, 1996; Gao *et al.*, 2000; Vosshall *et al.*, 2000).

In the present study optical recordings were made for *H. virescens* males and females, aiming to determine the activity pattern in the antennal lobes during stimulation with different plant odorants. We tested odorants known to be primary or secondary odorants for particular RN types as well as mixtures. The results showed activation of one or a few glomeruli during stimulation with the single odorants. Stimulation with mixtures activated both areas that covered the glomeruli responding to the single constituents and additional glomeruli. Higher odour concentrations usually increased the response strength and sometimes showed a slight activation of additional glomeruli. Eight glomeruli with corresponding positions and plant odour responses were identified within each sex, four of them also corresponded in the two sexes.

#### **Materials and methods**

#### **Animals**

Pupae of *H. virescens* (Heliothinae: Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) were kindly provided by Mr Ulrich Ebbinghaus-Kintscher at Bayer, Germany. Females and males were placed in separate containers and kept in a phase-shifted light–dark photoperiod at room temperature. After emerging, the adult moths were placed in new containers and given honeywater. Insects used in the experiments were 2–5 days old.

#### **Animal preparation and staining of the brain**

The insects were prepared for optophysiological recordings as described in a previous work (Galizia *et al.*, 2000). The dye calcium green 2 AM [50 µg dye dissolved in 50 µl Pluronic in DMSO and 950 µl Ringer's solution (150 mM NaCl,  $3 \text{ mM }$ CaCl<sub>2</sub>,  $3 \text{ mM }$ KCl,  $10 \text{ mM }$ TES buffer and 25 mM sucrose, pH 6.9); Molecular Probes] was applied in the head capsule of the *in vivo* preparation for 1–1.5 h. Afterwards, the dye was washed out with Ringer's solution. The preparation was covered with a plastic cover slip and placed under the microscope (see below). A hole in the plastic cover slip above the brain allowed frequent addition of fresh Ringer's by a pipette onto the exposed brain during the experiment. The water objective was placed on top of the hole, in contact with the Ringer's solution.

#### **Odour stimulation**

Eight single compounds and two mixtures were tested during the experiments. All plant odorants were tested at five different concentrations  $(10^{-1} - 10^{-5})$ , where  $10^{-1}$  corresponded to 10 mg of the component applied to the filter paper for all odorants except for (–)-germacrene D where  $10^{-1}$  corresponded to 2 mg), whereas the pheromone component was tested with 1 µg of compound on the filter paper. All substances were dissolved in *n*-hexane (Kebo Lab), evaporated onto filter paper and put into clean glass cartridges. The single odorants tested were (purities tested on gas chromatograph): the major pheromone component *Z*11–16:Al (*Z*-11-hexadecenal; provided by Dr J.G. Tumlinson, USDA, Gainesville, FL), the single plant components β-myrcene (7 methyl-3-methylene-1,6-octadiene; Fluka Chemika; purity 90%), β-ocimene (Firmenich; purity 82%), α-farnesene (provided by Dr A.-K. Borg-Karlson, KTH, Stockholm, Sweden; purity 61%), (–)-germacrene D (provided by Dr A.-K. Borg-Karlson; purity 99%) and (*R*)-(–)-(*E*)-β-caryophyllene (bicyclo [7.2.0] undec-4-ene,4,11,11-trimethyl-8 methylene; Fluka Chemica; purity 96%). Four mixtures

were tested, including two enantiomeric mixtures of linalool [one with 47% (*R*)-(–)-linalool and 48% (*S*)-(+)-linalool, called 'racemic linalool' and one containing 96% (*R*)-(–) linalool and 2% (*S*)-(+)-linalool, called '(*R*)-(–)-linalool' (Kock Light Laboratories)] and the essential oils of cubebe pepper (Dragoco) and ylang ylang (Firmenich). Cubebe pepper contains mainly sesquiterpenes including germacrene D, but also small amounts of racemic linalool, β-myrcene and (*E*)-β-ocimene, as well as many other compounds. Ylang ylang contains large amounts of germacrene D and racemic linalool in addition to α-farnesene, (*E*)-β-ocimene and smaller amounts of other compounds. The animals were placed in a constant clean air stream. A 1 s odour pulse was blown into the constant airflow for stimulation. Stimulus timing was computer controlled. Each odour concentration was tested twice on each preparation, the first time focusing on an upper level of the antennal lobe and the second time 100 µm below by shifting the objective with a piezo-control (PiFok; PI-Instruments, Germany). Two cartridges, one containing a filter paper with evaporated hexane and one without hexane were used as controls. The inter-stimulus interval (ISI) was ∼1 min.

#### **Calcium measurements**

The prepared moths were imaged under an upright Axioscope Zeiss microscope using a  $20\times$  water objective (NA = 0.5; Olympus) or with an upright Olympus BX 50WI microscope using a  $20\times$  water objective (NA = 0.95). CCD camera and imaging system was by Till-Photonics. Monochromatic excitation light was 475 nm, dichroic: 500 nm, emission: LP 515. Pixel image size was  $2.4 \times 2.4$  µm, obtained by  $2 \times 2$ binning on chip or  $4.8 \times 4.8$  µm, obtained by  $4 \times 4$  binning on chip. For each stimulus, 100 frames (images) were taken at a frequency of 5 Hz. Exposure time for each frame was between 50 and 100 ms with a binning of 2 and between 10 and 40 ms with a binning of 4. The light was shut off between frames. The odour stimulus was given at frames 25– 30, which is 5 s after the first frame, lasting 1 s. During the stimulation we observed patterns of intracellular calcium increase in the antennal lobe of *H. virescens*, followed by a slow, negative phase as found in honeybees (Stetter *et al.*, 2001). Ringer fluctuations outside the AL led in some cases to light intensity fluctuations that appeared as signals in false-colour-coded images (Figure 1). Areas outside the AL were therefore excluded (Figures 2 and 3) or shaded (Figure 4).

#### **Data processing**

Off-line analyses were done with custom programs written in IDL language (Research Systems, CO). Raw data were median-filtered to reduce shot noise (filter size three pixels in one temporal and two spatial dimensions). Responses were calculated as relative changes in fluorescence intensity  $(\Delta F/F,$  where *F* is calculated as the mean of frames 5–24, before stimulus onset at frame 25). We applied a spatial unsharp-mask filter to each frame in the raw data. Specifically, letting *A* be one raw data image frame and sm(*A*) the same image treated with a spatial low-pass filter, we then calculated  $A_{\text{sharp}} = (2^*A) - (\text{sm}(A))$ . This algorithm reduces the effect of scattered light produced by strongly activated glomeruli to neighbouring non- or less-active glomeruli, which would otherwise appear as false-positive glomeruli. This procedure will increase slightly the measured responses for focalized activities, as compared to non-corrected data, since the blurring effect is reduced. For large activity spots no change will occur at the centre of the activity, since sm(*A*) will have the same value as the original image *A* and the formula will therefore yield the same value as the original measurement, *A*. The time-courses were corrected for bleaching in the following way: for each frame (point in time) the average signal of the entire antennal lobe was calculated. This gives a single function of signal intensity against time. A log-function was fitted to this time-course and the values of the log-function subtracted from each frame. This treatment removes global bleaching and/or photoisomerization effects without changing the spatial components of odour responses. For false-colour display, at each pixel the time-course is calculated as an average of this pixel and its surrounding areas  $[13 \times 13$  pixels = 31.2  $\times$ 31.2  $\mu$ m (2 × 2 binning on chip) or 7 × 7 pixels = 33.6 × 33.6  $\mu$ m (4  $\times$  4 binning on chip)]. For calculation of falsecolour images the mean of three frames at time frame 22–24 was subtracted from the mean of three frames at time frame 29–31. The false-colour-coded pictures in Figures 2 and 3 were scaled to the upper 50% of their intensity ranges and superimposed on grey-scale images from the measurements (see below).

### **Afterstaining**

After the recordings, some of the ALs were treated with a protease (P5459; Sigma) to digest the neurolemma and stained with the membrane-soluble dye RH795 (Molecular Probes) to make the glomerular structures visible in epifluorescent light. The insects were treated with the afterstaining for 2–12 h, sometimes placed on a hot plate for better staining. Morphological images of the ALs were then taken with the CCD camera as *z*-stacks consisting of 100 images at 1 µm distance. Two overlapping *z*-stacks were usually chosen, covering the focal depths between 0 and 150 µm in the AL. Exposure time for each image was between 5 and 40 ms. Monochromatic excitation light was 515 nm or 475 nm, emission LP535 or LP515, respectively. Pixel image size was  $2.4 \times 2.4$  µm, obtained by  $2 \times 2$  binning on chip or  $1.2 \times 1.2$  µm, obtained by  $1 \times 1$  binning on chip.

#### **Reconstruction of the afterstained preparations**

Two-dimensional reconstructions were made for some of the animals based on the morphological images of the different focal depths of the afterstained ALs (usually in a frontal view). The reconstructed glomeruli maps could be positioned onto the black and white pictures from the calcium imaging experiments, using landmarks such as the AL border, trachea and bright spots. Finally, this black and white picture could be replaced with a false-colour-coded picture showing the responses to an odour so that the response areas could be related to glomerular structures. These 2-D maps were also compared to the 3-D reconstruction of the AL glomeruli (Berg *et al.*, 2002) in order to try to identify the activated glomeruli according to this atlas. Since this was difficult in respect to the ordinary glomeruli, identification of the activated glomeruli across individuals was based on their relative position and the distance (number of glomeruli) between them, as found in the 2-D maps. If the response patterns corresponded in different individuals, the active glomeruli were addressed as identical glomeruli and given the same numbers.

## **Results**

#### **Identification of glomeruli in accordance with the 3-D atlas**

The results are based on recordings from antennal lobes of eight males and 16 females that out of 100 tested preparations showed significant signal-to-noise ratios for further analyses. In 16 preparations (three males and 13 females) the glomeruli pattern was visible in the afterstainings, where we used a membrane-permeable dye (see Materials and methods). We made a 2-D reconstruction of the most anterior part by looking at different focal depths (∼0–150 µm) of the AL (usually in a frontal view). Figure 1 shows the antennal lobe of a male at three focal depths (0, 90 and 160 µm, Figure 1A–C) and the reconstructed glomerular map (Figure 1D). By superimposing the 2-D map of glomeruli on the black and white pictures and the falsecolour-coded pictures from the experiments, the activated areas could be ascribed to glomeruli visible in the afterstained preparation (Figure 1E–H, showing the response pattern elicited by racemic linalool). In most of the males the MGC was clearly visible and the cumulus, the dorso-medial glomerulus and one or both of the ventral glomeruli could be identified. It was not possible to identify the ordinary glomeruli according to the glomeruli numbers given in the

atlas of the antennal lobe (Berg *et al.*, 2002), except for the two large ventrally located glomeruli that were recognized in a few female antennal lobes. These two glomeruli (data not shown) were identified as glomeruli number 19 (which receives information from the labial pit organ) and 20 in the 3-D atlas. In the present study the activated ordinary glomeruli were labelled with arbitrary numbers,  $G_m$ 1 – $G_m$ 8 in males and  $G_f$ 1 – $G_f$ 8 in females.

#### **Comparison of the spatial response patterns between individuals**

#### *Males*

In the males, the MGC was easily identified in most individuals. Since the identification of the ordinary glomeruli according to the 3-D atlas was difficult, the identification of eight activated ordinary glomeruli across individuals was made on the basis of the 2-D maps (Table 1A). This is exemplified in Figure 2, showing the responses to five odours and one control obtained in three male preparations. In these three males the afterstainings were successful and 2-D reconstructions of the glomerular patterns were made. The strong activation of the cumulus (C) by the major pheromone component, *Z*11–16:AL, which appeared in five males (Table 1A), is shown in the three preparations in Figure 2. Based on the position of the MGC and the 2-D glomeruli map, the relative position of the glomeruli activated by each of the plant odorants was compared among the five males that showed pheromone responses. The positions of eight plant-odour-responding glomeruli were recognized in these males and termed 'male glomeruli'  $G_m$ 1– $G_m$ 8 (Figure 2 and Table 1A). Four of them  $(G_m 1-G_m 4)$  were located dorsomedially and three  $(G_m 5-G_m 7)$  ventro-laterally of a midline axis from the central cumulus, through the glomerulus situated between  $G_m$ 2 and  $G_m$ 5, to the ventro-medial AL. Glomerulus  $G_m1$  was located next to the dorso-medial MGC unit (DM). In preparation 3,  $G<sub>m</sub>1$  probably covers the DM glomerulus due to a slightly different orientation of the brain. Glomeruli  $G_m$ 2 and  $G_m$ 3 were located next to each other,  $G_m$ 2 separated from  $G_m$ 1 and  $G_m$ 3 from  $G_m$ 4 by one glomerulus. Next to  $G_m$ 4 on the midline axis was  $G_m$ 8,

**Figure 1** Frontal view of a male AL, showing the most anterior glomeruli. **(A–C)** Pictures of the AL at three focal depths (0, 90 and 160 µm), afterstained with a membrane-soluble dye. **(D)** A two-dimensional (2-D) reconstruction of the visualized glomeruli. **(E)** Black and white picture of the same AL taken during the experiments. **(F)** The 2-D map of glomeruli superimposed on the black and white picture. **(G)** A false-colour coded picture of the AL during stimulation with racemic linalool. **(H)** The 2-D map of glomeruli superimposed on the false-colour coded picture. The directions medial (M), lateral (L), dorsal (D) and ventral (V) are indicated. C, cumulus; DM, dorso-medial MGC glomerulus; VL, ventro-lateral MGC glomerulus; VM, ventro-medial MGC glomerulus.

Figure 2 Spatial representation of five odours and one control in three male preparations shown as overthresholded false-colour-coded response patterns superimposed onto a morphological view of the AL. For preparation 3, the time-traces of the responses in six glomeruli are given. The response to the major pheromone component, *Z*11–16:AL, was exclusive in the large MGC glomerulus (C). The plant odorants mainly showed responses in 1–3 ordinary glomeruli, β-ocimene in G<sub>m</sub>2, G<sub>m</sub>3 and G<sub>m</sub>4 and racemic linalool in G<sub>m</sub>1, G<sub>m</sub>2 and G<sub>m</sub>5 in preparation 3. Responses in these and additional glomeruli (G<sub>m</sub>6–G<sub>m</sub>8) are shown for preparations 1 and 2. The concentration of each odorant is indicated in the pictures. Except for three odorants tested in preparation 1 (β-ocimene, β-myrcene and racemic linalool) all responses are shown for the focus level of 100 µm depth. C, cumulus; DM, dorso-medial MGC unit; glomeruli number 1–8, G<sub>m</sub>1–G<sub>m</sub>8. The Δ*F/F* maximum values are 2.0% for *Z*11–16:Al and cubebe pepper in preparation 1 and 1.3% for the others. The directions in relation to the midline axis are indicated by  $dm =$  dorso-medial and  $vl =$  ventro-lateral.





which was only activated in preparation 2. The three glomeruli  $G_m$ 5,  $G_m$ 6 and  $G_m$ 7, ventro-lateral to the midline axis, were located next to each other;  $G_m$ 5 separated both from  $G_m$ 2 and from the MGC by one glomerulus. For the remaining three males lacking 2-D maps and pheromone response, some of the active foci were identified as glomeruli  $G_m$ 1– $G_m$ 8 based on their location in the AL and specific responses to each plant odorant.

Stimulation with single odorants activated one or a few glomeruli (Table 1A). Only minimal overlap was found for the response patterns elicited by different odorants. β-Ocimene strongly and specifically activated  $G_m$ 3 (two individuals),  $G_m$ 4 (four individuals) and  $G_m$ 8 (one individual).  $G<sub>m</sub>$ 3 was in one case weakly activated by β-myrcene [a secondary odorant of the (*E*)-β-ocimene RN type]. Linalool elicited strong and specific responses in  $G_m$ 5 (six individuals) and  $G<sub>m</sub>6$  (two individuals). Somewhat weaker responses to linalool and cubebe pepper (containing small amounts of linalool) as well as minor responses to (*R*)-(–)-(*E*)-β-caryophyllene were found in  $G_m$ 1. One glomerulus,  $G_m$ 2, responded strongly both to β-ocimene and linalool, as well as weakly to β-myrcene in many males, as shown in preparation 3 in Figure 2. In four other preparations (not shown) minor responses to the control (hexane evaporated on filter paper) were also elicited in  $G_m$ 2. This indicates that hexane or the mechanical component of the stimulus partly caused the activation in this glomerulus. The ventrally located glomerulus  $G_m$ 7, activated by cubebe pepper, appeared in two individuals (preparations 1 and 2, Figure 2), suggesting that this glomerulus was specified for other constituents of cubebe pepper than the single odorants tested in these experiments. All together, the results showed many similarities in response patterns across individuals. Some differences appeared, e.g. the lack of response to β-ocimene in glomerulus  $G_m$ 3 in preparation 1 and the additional response to β-ocimene in one ventral glomerulus,  $G<sub>m</sub>$ 8, in preparation 2.

Table 1 Percentage of individuals showing responses in specific glomeruli to the different test odours

Location	Glomerulus number	Odour									
		$Z11 - 16$ : AL	$(-)$ -Germa- crene D	$\alpha$ -Farnesene	β-Ocimene	<b>B-Myrcene</b> weak responses	Linalool	Caryo- phyllene	Cubebe pepper	Ylang ylang	Hexane minor responses
(A) Males											
MGC	Cumulus	71 (5/7)	Not tested lin males	Not tested in males	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/4)	0(0/8)
Dorso-medial	$G_m$ 1	0(0/7)			0(0/8)	0(0/8)	63 (5/8)	38(3/8)	63 (5/8)	0(0/4)	0(0/8)
	$G_m$ 2	0(0/7)			88 (7/8)	75(6/8)	88 (7/8)	0(0/8)	63 (5/8)	50(2/4)	50(4/8)
	$G_m$ 3	0(0/7)			25(2/8)	13(1/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/4)	0(0/8)
	$G_m$ 4	0(0/7)			50 (4/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/4)	0(0/8)
Ventro-lateral	G <sub>m</sub> 5	0(0/7)			0(0/8)	0(0/8)	75 (6/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/4)	0(0/8)
	$G_m$ 6	0(0/7)			0(0/8)	0(0/8)	25(2/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/4)	0(0/8)
Ventral	$G_m$ 7	0(0/7)			0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	25(2/8)	0(0/4)	0(0/8)
	$G_m$ 8	0(0/7)			13(1/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/8)	0(0/4)	0(0/8)
(B) Females											
Dorso-medial	G <sub>f</sub> 1	0(0/13)	86 (6/7)	88 (7/8)	13(2/16)	7(1/15)	6(1/16)	0(0/7)	33(5/15)	19 (3/16)	6(1/16)
	$G_f2$	0(0/13)	0(0/7)	0(0/8)	56 (9/16)	60 (9/15)	56 (9/16)	0(0/7)	47 (7/15)	38 (6/16)	25(4/16)
	G <sub>f</sub> 3	0(0/13)	29(2/7)	25(2/8)	63 (10/16)	47(7/15)	44 (7/16)	0(0/7)	67 (10/15)	56 (9/16)	19 (3/16)
Lateral	$G_fA$	0(0/13)	71(5/7)	0(0/8)	6(1/16)	7(1/15)	6(1/16)	0(0/7)	47 (7/15)	44(7/16)	0(0/16)
	$G_f5$	0(0/13)	14(1/7)	13(1/8)	0(0/16)	0(0/15)	56 (9/16)	0(0/7)	47 (7/15)	25(4/16)	6(1/16)
Ventro-lateral	$G_f6$	0(0/13)	0(0/7)	0(0/8)	0(0/16)	0(0/15)	0(0/16)	0(0/7)	53 (8/15)	19(3/16)	0(0/16)
Ventral	$Gf$ 7	0(0/13)	0(0/7)	0(0/8)	0(0/16)	0(0/15)	0(0/16)	0(0/7)	27(4/15)	6(1/16)	0(0/16)
	$G_f8$	0(0/13)	0(0/7)	0(0/8)	0(0/16)	0(0/15)	0(0/16)	0(0/7)	0(0/15)	38 (6/16)	0(0/16)

When >>40% of the individuals show odour responses in a glomerulus, the box is shaded in grey. The numbers of responding individuals out of those tested are indicated in parentheses.

#### *Females*

In 13 female preparations, the afterstainings were successful and 2-D reconstructions of the glomerular patterns were carried out. Based on the response patterns elicited by the different odorants and the 2-D map of glomeruli in each animal a comparison of active female glomeruli,  $G_f$ 1– $G_f$ 8 (Table 1B), could be made across individuals in the same way as for males. This was based on the position of a dorsomedially located glomerulus,  $G_f$ l, which in nine preparations responded specifically to germacrene D,  $\alpha$ -farnesene and/or to the two mixtures cubebe pepper and ylang ylang, which both contain these odorants (Figure 3 and Table 1B). Responses to  $(-)$ -germacrene D and  $\alpha$ -farnesene were obtained in six of the seven preparations tested for the two single odorants and a response to  $\alpha$ -farnesene was obtained in an additional individual not tested for germacrene D. In the remaining males, not tested for the two single odorants, two showed responses in  $G_f$ 1 to the mixtures. Figure 3 shows the spatial and temporal response patterns elicited in the AL by the different odorants and two controls in one of the females. Two glomeruli located medially to  $G_f1$  responded to β-ocimene, β-myrcene and linalool in most preparations and were named  $G_f^2$  and  $G_f^3$  (Table 1B). Stimulation with the two controls (filter paper with or without evaporated hexane) showed only slightly increased calcium levels in the AL of most of the females, as shown in Figure 3. A few females had a somewhat higher control (hexane) response in glomeruli  $G_f$ 2 and  $G_f$ 3, suggesting that the activation of these glomeruli was caused partly by either hexane or the mechanical component of the stimulus. In one preparation,  $G_f$ 2 and  $G_f$ 3 had more specific odour responses (Figure 3). β-Ocimene and β-myrcene activated only  $G_f$ 2, while stimulation with linalool, both the (*R*)-(–)-linalool and the racemic linalool, activated  $G_f$ 3 (strongest) and  $G_f$ 2. Looking more carefully at the responses and the location of the two glomeruli presented in Figure 3,  $G_f$ 3 seemed to be the major glomerulus responding to linalool. Being located somewhat deeper and partly under  $G_f$ 2, the activation of  $G_f$ 3 might have given the impression of responses in both glomeruli. Another glomerulus, G<sub>f</sub>4, located ventro-laterally, next to  $G_f$ 2 and  $G_f$ 3 (Figure 4), was activated in five preparations only by (–)-germacrene D and the mixtures cubebe pepper and ylang ylang (Table 1B). Lateral to  $G_f$ 4 was glomerulus  $G_f$ 5 that responded only to linalool and the mixtures.

Typically, the response areas of the two essential oils covered the response areas elicited by their major constituents. In accordance with the responses to the single odorants, ylang-ylang, containing a large amount of germacrene D,  $\alpha$ -farnesene and linalool, strongly activated  $G_f$ l and  $G_f$ 3 in the individual shown in Figure 3. Only weak responses to ylang-ylang were elicited in  $G_f$ 2, in accordance with the small amount of (*E*)-β-ocimene and β-myrcene in this mixture. The much stronger activation in  $G_f$ 1 than in  $G_f$ 2 and  $G_f$ 3 elicited by cubebe pepper in Figure 3 might be due to the high germacrene D content and only small traces of linalool, (*E*)-β-ocimene and β-myrcene. The two mixtures also elicited responses in additional glomeruli, indicating that other constituents of the mixtures, not tested in these experiments, caused the activation. One ventral glomerulus,  $G_f$ 6, separated from  $G_f$ 3 by two glomeruli was strongly activated in eight preparations only by cubebe pepper (Figure 3). Two neighbouring glomeruli  $(G_f^7$  and  $G_f^8$ ), located ventro-medially of  $G_f$ 6, separated by one glomerulus, also showed response only to cubebe pepper (four preparations) and ylang ylang (six preparations), respectively (Table 1B).

Taken together, the results from the female moths showed strong activation by the single odorants in glomeruli located nearby. Two glomeruli,  $G_f1$  and  $G_f4$ , were ascribed to germacrene D and α-farnesene, and germacrene D alone, respectively. Another two neighbouring glomeruli  $(G_f^2)$  and G<sub>f</sub>3) were activated by β-ocimene, β-myrcene and the two linalool stimulants, and were in one preparation separated into one linalool and one β-ocimene/β-myrcene glomerulus. One lateral glomerulus  $(G_f 5)$  was, in a few individuals, activated only by linalool and the essential oils. Three more ventral glomeruli  $(G_f6-G_f8)$  were ascribed to unknown constituents of the two mixtures ylang ylang and cubebe pepper.

### *Comparison of spatial response pattern in males and females*

Some similarities were found in males and females when spatial response patterns were compared. Activation by βocimene, β-myrcene and two linalool stimulants was found in two neighbouring glomeruli, located dorso-medially in the AL of both sexes  $(G_m/2/G_m^3)$  and  $G_f/2/G_f^3$ ). In addition, one glomerulus responding to linalool was found lateral in the AL  $(G_m5$  and  $G_f5)$ . More ventrally located glomeruli, which specifically responded to cubebe pepper and not to the single components tested, were also found in both sexes  $(G<sub>m</sub>7, G<sub>f</sub>6$  and  $G<sub>f</sub>7$ ). Differences between the sexes were also found, e.g. by the two dorso-medially located glomeruli,  $G<sub>m</sub>1$  responding exclusively to linalool and  $G<sub>m</sub>4$  to βocimene, which both were only found in males (Figure 2). (– )-Germacrene D and  $\alpha$ -farnesene were tested only in the females.

## *Responses to the racemic linalool and the enantiomer (R)-(–)-linalool*

Comparison of responses to racemic linalool and the 96% pure (*R*)-(–)-linalool (containing 2% (*S*)-(+)-linalool) could be made in 15 females and eight males tested for the same concentrations. In general, no difference was found between the responses to these two linalool stimulants (Figure 4). Only in two females and one male was a slightly stronger response obtained for the racemic mixture than for the single enantiomer. In the female shown in Figure 3 a somewhat stronger response was found to the sample (*R*)-(–)-linalool than to the racemic mixture in the glomeruli  $G_f$ 2 and  $G_f$ 3. In one female and two males the racemic mixture elicited an



Figure 3 Spatial representation of eight odorants and two controls in one female AL (frontal view) False-colour-coded overthreshold response patterns are superimposed onto the morphological views of the ALs and time-traces of the responses in four glomeruli (G<sub>f</sub>1—G<sub>f</sub>3 and G<sub>f</sub>6) are shown for each odour stimulus. Glomerulus G<sub>f</sub>1 was activated by (–)-germacrene D, α-farnesene and the ylang ylang and cubebe pepper mixtures, G<sub>f</sub>2 by β-ocimene and βmyrcene, G<sub>f</sub>3 by racemic linalool, (*R*)-(–)-linalool and the ylang ylang mixture and G<sub>f</sub>6 by cubebe pepper. The activation by (*R*)-(–)-linalool in G<sub>f</sub>2 was probably due to the fact that G<sub>f</sub>3 was located partly under G<sub>f</sub>2. All responses are from the 100 μm focus level. Except for cubebe pepper (concentration 10<sup>-3</sup>), the concentration was 10<sup>-5</sup> for all odours. Glomeruli number 1–8, glomeruli G<sub>f</sub>1–G<sub>f</sub>8. The Δ*F*/*F* maximum values are 3.0% for (*R*)-(−)-linalool and cubebe pepper and 2.3% for the others. The directions in relation to the midline axis are indicated by dm = dorso-medial and  $vl =$  ventro-lateral.



**Figure 4** Comparison of responses to racemic linalool and the 96% pure (*R*)-(–)-linalool sample [containing 2% (*S*)-(+)-linalool]. Responses in a female AL to the racemic mixture of linalool **(A)** and the (*R*)-(–)-linalool sample **(B)**. (A, B) show the difference between the two responses obtained by subtraction. Only negligible differences were found. The concentration of the two odorants was 10<sup>-5</sup> and focal level 100 µm. The 2-D map of the AL of this female is shown on the left, with five of the glomeruli (G<sub>f</sub>2–G<sub>f</sub>5 and G<sub>f</sub>8) that could be identified across individuals.

additional small response in one area not activated by the (*R*)-(–)-linalool sample alone.

# **General responsiveness and temporal response patterns in males and females**

In males the maximum response within each individual was in the range of  $1.0-2.4\%$  (mean = 1.64%, SD = 0.48), while the hexane control had a maximum response in the range of  $0.5-1.0\%$  (mean = 0.79%, SD = 0.19). In females the values were 1.2–4.2% (mean = 2.33%, SD = 0.78) and 0.6–2.1% (mean =  $1.14\%$ , SD = 0.40), respectively. The preparations with the highest maximum response also showed the strongest responses to the hexane control, which could be ascribed to a small activation by hexane or to the mechanical component of the stimulus. In general, linalool [either the racemic linalool or the (*E*)-(–)-linalool] elicited a strong response in all individuals, being the strongest stimulant among the single odorants in 10 of the 16 females and in six of eight males studied. The cubebe pepper and ylang ylang mixtures, both containing linalool, slightly exceeded the linalool response in most of the 10 females, whereas in males the cubebe pepper response exceeded the linalool response only in one of the six individuals. The typical response latencies were short (up to 100 ms) in both sexes. The maximum responses were reached 1–2.0 s after stimulus onset in females and after 1–2.5 s in males when including all individuals, whereas within each individual the variation was minimal. Only a few responses in some of the individuals showed deviation of the upstroke pattern, such as for the βocimene response in Figure 3, showing a steeper response curve in glomerulus  $G_f$ 2. In both sexes the variation in temporal response patterns was independent of odour quality and glomerular position. Typically the responses in females (14 out of 16) and males (six out of eight) had a relatively short duration and were often followed by a drop below baseline that started 2–5 s and 3–5 s after stimulus onset in the two sexes, respectively (Figure 5). Usually the response peaks were reached slightly later for the strongest responses than for the weaker ones, i.e. a variation in the

range of 0–0.5 s. Less variation was seen among the males than in the females. Increased response duration was often seen along with increasing response strength. In the remaining two males and females both brief and long-lasting responses appeared which were not followed by a drop below baseline. The long-lasting responses were most evident in the two males, which also showed peaks appearing later and slower response decay with increasing response strength (Figure 5C).

The responsiveness of females and males was compared using the mean values of the maximum odour responses and the mean values of the maximum responses to cubebe pepper (concentration  $10^{-3}$ ) and control (hexane) obtained in each preparation. In females the mean value was 2.33% for the max odour responses, 1.65% for the maximum cubebe pepper responses and 1.14% for the maximum control responses (SEM =  $0.195$ , 0.198 and 0.100, respectively). In comparison, the values in males were 1.64% (SEM  $= 0.170$ ), 1.16% (SEM = 0.169) and 0.79% (SEM = 0.066), respectively. These significantly different values of odour and control responses [independent-samples *t*-test (equal variances not assumed),  $P = 0.015$  and 0.008 respectively] and the trend toward significantly difference for cubebe pepper ( $P = 0.075$ ), showed that, in general, the females had a higher responsiveness than the males. Since this difference was not quite so evident for cubebe pepper, it implies that the response to this odour was in fact relatively higher in the males than in the females.

#### **The effects of increased odour concentrations**

In the glomeruli with the strongest responses to the tested odorants, increased response strength was mostly found with increased concentration. This increase was in a few cases followed by saturation or a small decrease of response strength at the highest concentration. A decrease of responses with increased concentrations, or concentrationindependent responses appeared occasionally. At higher concentrations more glomeruli became active and similar variations of dose–response relationships were also



Figure 5 The typical response profiles in both sexes consisted of short response latencies (0-0.1 s) and a fast upstroke pattern reaching the maximum responses 1–2.5 s after stimulus onset. Most responses were brief, often followed by a drop to below the baseline. A few of the animals showed long-lasting responses with slow decay and no drop below the baseline. **(A–C)** Responses to cubebe pepper and β-ocimene. Examples are given of brief responses in a female (A) and a male (B) and of a long-lasting response in a male (C).

observed for these glomeruli. Figure 6 shows the timecourses of responses to increased odour concentrations in four glomeruli of one female: two strongly activated glomeruli ( $G_f$ 2 and  $G_f$ 3) and two weakly-activated glomeruli  $(G_f4 \text{ and } G_f8)$ . Responses to four or five concentrations of each odorant [β-ocimene, β-myrcene and (*R*)-(–)-linalool] are shown. For the two strongly activated glomeruli, an increased response with increased concentration appeared for β-ocimene. For the stronger responses to (*R*)-(–)-linalool, a slight response increase was followed by saturation in  $G_f$ 3, while saturation was already reached at the lowest concentrations in  $G_f$ 2. The weaker responses to β-myrcene showed a slight increase in  $G_f$ 3 and concentration independence in  $G_f$ 2. The different dose–response relationships were also observed in the weakly activated glomeruli  $G_fA$  and  $G_fS$ . Increased response strength with increased β-ocimene concentration was clearly found in  $G_f$ 8. The other doseresponse relationships showed either a slight increase followed by saturation or a decreased response, dose– response independence or response only at the highest concentration. Thus each glomerulus exhibited different response characteristics for different odours and each odour elicited a different dose–response relationship in each of the four glomeruli. This is apparent for glomeruli both with high and low responsiveness to these odorants. The results indicate that the dose–response characteristic is not a property of the glomerulus, but a property of a given odour in a given glomerulus and the relative pattern across glomeruli differs with increased concentration of one odorant.

#### **Discussion**

The major intention in the present study was to investigate how the quality of identified odorants mediated by specific RNs is represented in the AL of heliothine moths. Based on electrophysiological studies of single RN responses to primary odorants in heliothine moths (Mustaparta, 2002) and knowledge of the principle of convergence in the AL of each RN type (Vosshall *et al.*, 2000; Gao *et al.*, 2000), one would expect to find a specific activation of one or a few glomeruli when stimulating with single odorants. In accordance with this, the present calcium imaging study of the antennal lobe in *H. virescens* did show that the primary odorants activate one or a few glomeruli, some representing specific odorants. For instance, specific linalool responses were in both sexes found in one ventro-lateral glomerulus  $(G<sub>m</sub>5/G<sub>f</sub>5)$  and in two other glomeruli in males,  $G<sub>m</sub>6$  (next by) and  $G<sub>m</sub>1$  (dorso-medially), in addition to specific βocimene responses in the two dorso-medial male glomeruli  $G_m$ 3 and  $G_m$ 4. Ventro-laterally located linalool and dorsomedially located ocimene responses were also recorded in a previous calcium imaging study of this moth species (Galizia *et al.*, 2000). Some overlaps of the spatial response patterns of different odorants were found in the present study, which might not be expected since the different RN types have shown no overlap for the tested odorants. According to this, the only expected overlap was for β-ocimene and β-myrcene, both of which activate the (*E*)-β-ocimene RN type (Røstelien *et al.*, 2000b; Stranden *et al.*, 2003b). In the present study the two compounds elicited responses in the same glomeruli, β-ocimene somewhat stronger than βmyrcene, in accordance with the receptor neuron sensitivity. Unexpected overlap of activation was found in one or two dorso-medial glomeruli in both sexes by β-ocimene/βmyrcene and linalool ( $G_m$ 2,  $G_f$ 2 and  $G_f$ 3) as well as by (-)germacrene D and  $\alpha$ -farnesene in one glomerulus  $(G_f)$  in females. Only in one female preparation could  $G_f$ 2 and  $G_f$ 3 be functionally separated in one β-ocimene/β-myrcene glomerulus and one linalool glomerulus, respectively (Figure 3). In the males the glomerulus showing overlapping responses ( $G_m$ 2) was located next to one of the β-ocimene/βmyrcene-specific glomeruli  $(G_m3;$  Figure 2, preparation 3). Thus, in both sexes there were two neighbouring dorsomedially located glomeruli that received information about the three odorants. Whether there is a functional separation of them in all individuals, as indicated in the single female preparation, remains to be shown. The overlapping activity as found in this study of both sexes rather gives the impression that one glomerulus in males and two glomeruli in females receive input from both the (*E*)-β-ocimene and the (*S*)-(+)-linalool RN types.

As expected, no overlapping spatial response patterns were found between the monoterpenes (linalool, β-ocimene and β-myrcene) and the sesquiterpenes  $[(-)$ -germacrene D and  $\alpha$ -farnesene]. However, overlapping responses to  $(-)$ germacrene D and  $\alpha$ -farnesene were found in  $G_f$ 1 (but not  $G_f$ 4) in most of the female preparations tested for the two odorants, (–)-germacrene D eliciting a slightly stronger response. This is surprising, since the germacrene D and the α-farnesene RN types appear in separate sensilla and have shown no overlap of their molecular receptive ranges. Thus, the results suggest that this glomerulus  $(G_f)$  receives input from both RN types, while  $G_f$ 4 receives input only from germacrene D RNs. From the large number of germacrene D receptor neurons identified in the electrophysiological recordings one might have expected activation of one large glomerulus. Instead, activation of one or two normal-sized neighbouring glomeruli was found in this study. These glomeruli were located relatively close to the entrance of the AL nerve. Interestingly, the existence of the same germacrene D RN type has been shown in an electrophysiological study of a related species, *Helicoverpa assulta*. Moreover, the projection of the germacrene D RN has been indicated in a corresponding position (Stranden *et al.*, 2003a). All together the spatial response patterns have shown glomeruli with specificity to single primary odorants as well as glomeruli that seem to be activated by two primary odorants.

Another interesting aspect is how odour mixtures are represented as compared to the single odorants in the AL. In this study the comparison was made between the complex



**Figure 6** The response (∆*F*/*F*) changes with increased concentrations of the three odorants, (*R*)-(–)-linalool, β-ocimene and β-myrcene. Increases or saturation of the responses with increased concentration are shown for two medially located glomeruli (G<sub>f</sub>2 and G<sub>f</sub>3) which are highly sensitive to these odorants. Recruitment of two less sensitive glomeruli (G<sub>f</sub>4 and G<sub>f</sub>8) is also shown. The positions of the four glomeruli are shown in the 2-D map below. 2–8, G<sub>f</sub>2–G<sub>f</sub>8.

plant essential oils (ylang ylang and cubebe pepper) and some of their major constituents, which were tested individually. In addition the racemic mixture of linalool was compared with the mixture containing 96% (*R*)-(–)-linalool and 2% (*S*)-(+)-linalool [called (*R*)-(–)-linalool]. In all the cases the mixtures covered the response areas of the major constituents tested. Comparison of the response strengths was in general difficult since the concentrations tested as single components were higher than in the mixtures. Interestingly, ylang ylang elicited a higher response than (–) germacrene D in the germacrene  $D/\alpha$ -farnesene glomerulus  $(G_f)$  in some preparations (Figure 3). This may indicate a mixture effect with a non-additive increase caused by the two components germacrene  $D$  and  $\alpha$ -farnesene, that are both present in large amounts in the ylang ylang essential oil. Also the racemic linalool showed the same spatial response pattern as the mixture containing 96% (*R*)-(–)-linalool. This might be expected since  $(R)$ -(-)-linalool constitutes ∼50% of the racemic test sample. The question here is whether  $(S)$ -(+)-linalool and  $(R)$ -(-)-linalool activate the same or different RN types. Indeed, it is shown that the two enantiomers have different effects on two types of RNs. (*S*)- (+)-linalool is the primary odorant for one neuron type which responds much more weakly to  $(R)$ - $(-)$ -linalool (Røstelien *et al.*, unpublished data). In addition, both enantiomers induce weak responses in another RN type specified for geraniol, (*R*)-(–)-linalool somewhat stronger than the other enantiomer (Stranden *et al.*, 2003b). This means that the responses to linalool obtained in the present study might have been caused by activation of two different RN types. However, it seems likely that the responses recorded originated from neurons tuned to  $(S)-(+)$ -linalool. This may be due to the fact that the linalool responses in most cases had reached saturation for all concentrations tested, and the (*R*)- (–)-linalool test tubes contained ∼2% (*S*)-(+)-linalool. The low sensitivity to  $(R)$ - $(-)$ -linalool by the geraniol RN probably caused only a minimal response. This explains the similar response patterns obtained for the linalool samples with different ratios of the enantiomers.

In general, this method allows measuring changes in the calcium level of neurites only in the upper parts of the AL. The possibility still exists that those glomeruli located deeper respond to the tested odorants, e.g. to germacrene D, which have been found to activate ∼50% of the recorded plantodour-sensitive RNs in heliothine species (Stranden *et al.*, 2003a). Furthermore, only a limited number of RN types have been classified according to relevant odorants. Thus, we do not know whether the tested odorants might have secondary effects on other RN types, although this has not yet been shown. Comparison with results from intracellular recordings is interesting and can help resolving the glomerular response mapping. An interesting example is the lateral linalool glomerulus identified in this study and in a parallel study using intracellular recordings. A projection neuron excited by linalool in males had a dendritic arborization in one lateral glomerulus, in a corresponding position to the linalool response in the present study (Rø, unpublished data). A differently positioned linalool glomerulus is found in the sphinx moth *Manduca sexta*. Here the 'lateral large female glomerulus' (latLFG) located at the antennal nerve entrance was activated by linalool (King *et al.*, 2000). Female heliothine moths also have two large glomeruli at the antennal nerve entrance, 'the central large female glomerulus' (cLFG) corresponding to the latLFG in *M. sexta* (Berg *et al.*, 2002). Since the cLFG was not in focus in this study, we do not know the specificity of this glomerulus in *H. virescens*. In another moth, *S. littoralis*, a glomerulus responding to linalool is found in a more central (frontal) location (Carlsson *et al.*, 2002). Thus, linalool is represented in variously located ordinary glomeruli of the ALs in the three moth species.

One intention of this study was to identify the glomeruli across individuals on the basis of the 3-D atlas (Berg *et al.*, 2002). Because of the spherical form of the antennal lobes of heliothine moths only few glomeruli were in focus at each level, in contrast to the larger and differently oriented honeybee AL. This made the identification of glomeruli more difficult in the moth. Small variations of the antennal lobe orientations in different preparations contributed to the difficulties in identifying the glomeruli. Another complicating factor is the small individual differences in glomerular shapes/sizes, positions and/or numbers which is seen in heliothine moths and other insect species (Flanagan and Mercer, 1989; Rospars and Hildebrand, 1992; Galizia *et al.*, 1999; Laissue *et al.*, 1999; Berg *et al.*, 2002). Only a few exceptionally large glomeruli could be identified, like the MGC glomeruli in the males and the labial pit organ glomerulus and a neighbouring glomerulus in both sexes. However, in spite of the difficulties in identifying the glomeruli according to the 3-D atlas, eight glomeruli with corresponding positions and responses were identified across individuals within each sex by the use of the 2-D map, four of them in similar positions in both sexes. This suggests that a conservation of odour responses in the AL also exists in heliothine moths.

The temporal response patterns observed in the present study had the same properties as the responses previously recorded by optical imaging in this and other insect species (Galizia *et al.*, 2000; Carlsson *et al.*, 2002; Fiala *et al.*, 2002). No inhibition was ever found in this study as a response to the test odorants, but following many of the excitatory responses we generally observed a signal drop below baseline, as also seen in honeybees (Stetter *et al.*, 2001). These response characteristics match those found in bees when using a bath application of the calcium-sensitive dye, where the responses are thought mainly to represent the activity of the RNs (Sachse and Galizia, 2002). The results obtained in the present study are in accordance with the electrophysiological recordings from RNs in heliothine moths, showing only excitatory responses to odorants with a phasic–tonic

response pattern often showing a firing stop occurring a short time (100–200 ms) after stimulation ends. The concentration-dependent latencies of the RNs are in the range of 10–300 ms and the peak response frequencies are reached after 30–150 ms. Considering the summation in a glomerulus of activity in the large number of RNs located at different distances from the AL, the temporal response pattern of the recorded calcium responses in this study seems to be in accordance with the RN responses (Almaas and Mustaparta, 1990; Skiri, 1999; Stranden *et al.*, 2003b). Differences between the sexes appeared mainly as a generally lower responsiveness to plant odorants in males than in females, which can be explained by a considerably higher number of plant odour-sensitive sensilla on the female antennae (Almaas and Mustaparta, 1990).

Response changes with increased concentrations appeared both as increased response strength of the already-activated glomeruli and as recruitment of additional glomeruli. Similar dose–response relationships have been shown in other calcium imaging studies of *H. virescens* (Galizia *et al.*, 2000), the moth *S. littoralis* (Carlsson and Hansson, 2003), the bee *A. mellifera* (Sachse and Galizia, 2003), as well as the mouse (Fried *et al.*, 2002). Increased response strength in the glomeruli was expected, since all activated olfactory receptor neurons of this and other species respond to increased concentrations with increased firing rates. In some preparations a decrease was also found in the responses to the highest concentration of a few odorants. This might be due to lateral inhibition within the AL, or to over-saturation of the RNs. The saturation over several decade-steps of concentrations shown for linalool indicated that *H. virescens* is highly sensitive to this odorant, also indicated by electroantennogram recordings (unpublished). Interestingly, appetitive learning experiments have shown that this moth performs equally well over the same concentration range of linalool (Skiri *et al*., unpublished data). These results suggest that a larger number of linalool receptor neurons are present on the antennae of *H. virescens* than indicated so far in electrophysiological recordings of single RNs.

The activation of an increased number of glomeruli with increased odour concentrations has been well documented in a recent honeybee study (Sachse and Galizia, 2003). It is suggested that for each odorant a few strongly-activated glomeruli are responsible for the coding of odour quality, whereas intensity is coded by additional activation of lesssensitive glomeruli. The recruitment of additional glomeruli in the present study of *H. virescens* can be explained in two possible ways. The common explanation is that increased odour concentration secondarily activates additional types of RNs. This is, for instance, shown for insect-produced components in *H. virescens*, where overlapping molecular receptive ranges of two RN types correlated with the activation at high concentrations of two MGC glomeruli (Almaas and Mustaparta, 1990; Berg *et al.*, 1998; Galizia *et al.*, 2000). Since the molecular receptive ranges of the identified plant

odour RN types have shown no overlap, except for linalool (Stranden *et al.*, 2003b; Røstelien *et al.*, unpublished data), this interpretation does not seem obvious for all the odorants tested in this study. An alternative explanation is that more glomeruli receive input from the same type of receptor neurons, perhaps some targeted by highly sensitive and other by less sensitive RNs. Different sensitivity of RNs specified for the single odorants has been shown for pheromone as well as for plant odour components, in addition to different sensitivity of antennal lobe neurons responding to pheromones in *H. virescens*. This suggests a recruitment of less sensitive RNs and projection neurons in the detection of higher odour concentrations (Almaas and Mustaparta, 1991; Christensen *et al.*, 1995; Stranden *et al.*, 2003a). Whether the plant odour RNs with different sensitivities project in different glomeruli remains to be shown. Obviously, the specificity and axon projections of more receptor neuron types must be characterized to answer fully the questions about coding of odour quality and odour concentration in *H. virescens*. In future experiments, better techniques will also have to be developed that allow unambiguous identification of glomeruli in physiological measurements according to the available 3-D atlas.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The Norwegian Research Council (NFR) financed this project (project No. 134497/432). DAAD, ECRO and The Norwegian University of Science and Technology provided travel grants. For technical help we wish to thank Beate Eisermann and Astrid Klawitter (Freie Universität Berlin) and for inspiring discussions we are thankful to Dr Silke Sachse (presently at Rockefeller University, New York). We thank Mary Wurm (Freie Universität Berlin) for correcting the English. We are grateful to Mr Ulrich Ebbinghaus-Kintscher at Bayer, Germany for providing insect pupae.

# **References**

- **Almaas**, **T.J.** and **Mustaparta, H.** (1990) *Pheromone reception in tobacco budworm moth, Heliothis virescens.* J. Chem. Ecol.*,* 16, 1331–1347.
- **Almaas**, **T.J.** and **Mustaparta, H.** (1991) Heliothis virescens*: response characteristics of receptor neurons in sensilla trichodea type 1 and type 2*. J. Chem. Ecol., 17, 953–972.
- **Barata, E.N., Mustaparta, H., Pickett, J.A., Wadhams, L.J.** and **Araùjo, J.** (2002) *Encoding of host and non-host plant odours by receptor neurones in the eucalyptus woodborer,* Phoracantha semipunctata *(Coleoptera: Cerambycidae)*. J. Comp. Physiol. A, 188, 121–133.
- **Berg, B.G., Almaas, T.J., Bjaalie, J.G.** and **Mustaparta, H.** (1998) *The macroglomerular complex of the antennal lobe in the tobacco budworm moth* Heliothis virescens*: specified subdivision in four compartments according to information about biologically significant compounds*. J. Comp. Physiol. A, 183, 669–682.
- **Berg, B.G., Galizia, C.G., Brandt, R.** and **Mustaparta, H.** (2002) *Digital atlases of the antennal lobe in two species of tobacco budworm moths, the oriental* Helicoverpa assulta *(male) and the American* Heliothis virescens *(male and female)*. J. Comp. Neurol., 446, 123–134.
- **Bichão, H., Borg-Karlson, A.-K., Araùjo, J.** and **Mustaparta, H.** (2003) *Identification of plant odours activating receptor neurones in the weevil* Pissodes notatus *F. (Coleoptera, Curculionidae)*. J. Comp. Physiol. A, 189, 203–212.
- **Blight, M.M., Pickett, J.A., Wadhams, L.J.** and **Woodcock, C.M.** (1995) *Antennal perception of oilseed rape,* Brassica napus *(Brassicaceae), volatiles by the cabbage seed weevil* Ceutorhynchus assimilis *(Coleoptera, Curculionidae)*. J. Chem. Ecol., 21, 1649–1664.
- **Carlsson**, **M.A.** and **Hansson, B.** (2003) *Dose–response characteristics of glomerular activity in the moth antennal lobe*. Chem. Senses, 28, 269–278.
- **Carlsson, M.A., Galizia, C.G.** and **Hansson, B.S.** (2002) *Spatial representation of odours in the antennal lobe of the moth* Spodoptera littoralis *(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)*. Chem. Senses, 27, 231–244.
- **Christensen, T.A., Mustaparta, H.** and **Hildebrand, J.G.** (1995) *Chemical communication in heliothine moths VI. Parallel pathways for information processing in the macroglomerular complex of the male tobacco budworm moth* Heliothis virescens. J. Comp. Physiol. A, 177, 545–557.
- **De Bruyne, M., Foster, K.** and **Carlson, J.R.** (2001) *Odor coding in the* Drosophila *antenna*. Neuron, 30, 537–552.
- **Fiala, A., Spall, T., Diegelmann, S., Eisermann, B., Sachse, S., Devaud, J.-M., Buchner, E.** and **Galizia, C.G.** (2002) *Genetically expressed cameleon in* Drosophila melanogaster *is used to visualize olfactory information in projection neurones*. Curr. Biol., 12, 1877–1884.
- **Flanagan**, **D.** and **Mercer, A.R.** (1989) *An atlas and 3-D reconstruction of the antennal lobes in the worker honey bee,* Apis mellifera *L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae)*. Int. J. Insect Morphol. Embryol., 18, 145–159.
- **Fried, H.U., Fuss, S.H.** and **Korsching, S.I.** (2002) *Selective imaging of presynaptic activity in the mouse olfactory bulb shows concentration and structure dependence of odor responses in identified glomeruli*. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 99, 3222–3227.
- **Galizia**, **C.G.** and **Menzel, R.** (2001) *The role of glomeruli in the neural representation of odours: results from optical recording studies*. J. Insect Physiol., 47, 115–130.
- **Galizia, C.G., McIlwrath, S.L.** and **Menzel, R.** (1999) *A digital threedimensional atlas of the honeybee antennal lobe based on optical sections acquired by confocal microscopy*. Cell Tissue Res., 295, 383– 394.
- **Galizia, C.G., Sachse, S.** and **Mustaparta, H.** (2000) *Calcium responses to pheromones and plant odours in the antennal lobe of the male and female moth* Heliothis virescens. J. Comp. Physiol. A, 186, 1049–1063.
- **Gao, Q., Yuan, B.** and **Chess, A.** (2000) *Convergent projections of* Drosophila *olfactory neurons to specific glomeruli in the antennal lobe*. Nat. Neurosci., 3, 780–785.
- **Hildebrand**, **J.G.** and **Shepherd, G.M.** (1997) *Mechanisms of olfactory discrimination: converging evidence for common principles across phyla*. Annu. Rev. Neurosci., 20, 595–631.
- **King, J.R., Christensen, T.A.** and **Hildebrand, J.G.** (2000) *Response characteristics of an identified, sexually dimorphic olfactory glomerulus*. J. Neurosci., 20, 2391–2399.
- **Krieger, J., Raming, K., Dewer, Y.M.E., Bette, S., Conzelmann, S.** and **Breer, H.** (2002) *A divergent gene family encoding candidate olfactory receptors of the moth* Heliothis virescens. Eur. J. Neurosci., 16, 619– 628.
- **Laissue, P.P., Reiter, C., Hiesinger, P.R., Halter, S., Fischbach, K.F.** and **Stocker, R.F.** (1999) *Three-dimensional reconstruction of the*

*antennal lobe in* Drosophila melanogaster. J. Comp. Neurol., 405, 543–552.

- **Mombaerts, P., Wang, F., Dulac, C., Chao, S.K., Nemes, A., Mendelsohn, M., Edmondson, J.** and **Axel, R.** (1996) *Visualizing an olfactory sensory map*. Cell, 87, 675–686.
- **Mustaparta**, **H.** (2002) *Encoding of plant odour information in insects: peripheral and central mechanisms*. Entomol. Exp. Appl., 104, 1–13.
- **Ressler, K.J., Sullivan, S.L.** and **Buck, L.B.** (1994) *Information coding in the olfactory system: evidence for a stereotyped and highly organized epitope map in the olfactory bulb*. Cell, 79, 1245–1255.
- **Rospars**, **J.P.** and **Hildebrand, J.G.** (1992) *Anatomical identification of glomeruli in the antennal lobes of the sphinx moth* Manduca sexta. Cell Tissue Res., 270, 205–227.
- **Røstelien, T., Borg-Karlson, A.-K., Fäldt, J., Jacobsson, U.** and **Mustaparta, H.** (2000a) *The plant sesquiterpene germacrene D specifically activates a major type of antennal receptor neuron of the tobacco budworm moth* Heliothis virescens. Chem. Senses, 25, 141–148.
- **Røstelien, T., Borg-Karlson, A.-K.** and **Mustaparta, H.** (2000b) *Selective receptor neurone responses to* E*-*β*-ocimene,* β*-myrcene,* E*,* E*-*α*-farnesene and homo-farnesene in the moth* Heliothis virescens*, identified by gas chromatography linked to electrophysiology*. J. Comp. Physiol. A, 186, 833–847.
- **Sachse**, **S.** and **Galizia, C.G.** (2002) *Role of inhibition for temporal and spatial odor representation in olfactory output neurons: a calcium imaging study*. J. Neurophysiol., 87, 1106–1117.
- **Sachse**, **S.** and **Galizia, C.G.** (2003) *The coding of odour-intensity in the honeybee antennal lobe: local computation optimizes odour representation*. Eur. J. Neurosci., 18, 2119–2132.
- **Skiri**, **H.T.** (1999) *Funksjonell organisering av makroglomerularkomplekset i antenneloben hos nattsvermerarten* Helicoverpa armigera. Master thesis, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway.
- **Smith**, **D.V.** and **Shepherd, G.M.** (1999) *Chemical senses: taste and olfaction.* In Zigmond, M.J., Bloom, F.E., Landis, S.C., Roberts, J.L. and Squire, L.R. (eds), Fundamental Neuroscience. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp. 719–757.
- **Stensmyr, M.C., Larsson, M.C., Bice, S.** and **Hansson, B.S.** (2001) *Detection of fruit- and flower-emitted volatiles by olfactory receptor neurons in the polyphagous fruit chafer* Pachnoda marginata *(Coleoptera: Cetoniinae)*. J. Comp. Physiol. A, 187, 509–519.
- **Stetter, M., Greve, H., Galizia, C.G.** and **Obermayer, K.** (2001) *Analysis of calcium imaging signals from the honeybee brain by nonlinear models*. Neuroimage, 13, 119–128.
- **Stranden, M., Borg-Karlson, A.-K.** and **Mustaparta, H.** (2002) *Receptor neuron discrimination of the germacrene D enantiomers in the moth* Helicoverpa armigera. Chem. Senses, 27, 143–152.
- **Stranden, M., Liblikas, I., König, W.A., Almaas, T.J., Borg-Karlson, A.-K.** and **Mustaparta, H.** (2003a) *(–)-Germacrene D receptor neurones in three species of heliothine moths: structure–activity relationships*. J. Comp. Physiol. A, 189, 563–577.
- **Stranden, M., Røstelien, T., Liblikas, I., Almaas, T.J., Borg-Karlson, A.-K.** and **Mustaparta, H.** (2003b) *Receptor neurones in three heliothine moths responding to floral and inducible plant volatiles*. Chemoecology, 13, 143–154.
- **Vassar, R., Chao, S.K., Sitcheran, R., Nuñez, J.M., Vosshall, L.B.** and **Axel, R.** (1994) *Topographic organization of sensory projections to the olfactory bulb*. Cell, 79, 981–991.
- **Vickers, N.J., Christensen, T.A.** and **Hildebrand, J.G.** (1998) *Combinatorial odor discrimination in the brain: attractive and antagonist odor*

*blends are represented in distinct combinations of uniquely identifiable glomeruli*. J. Comp. Neurol., 400, 35–56.

- **Vosshall, L.B., Wong, A.M.** and **Axel, R.** (2000) *An olfactory sensory map in the fly brain.* Cell, 102, 147–159.
- **Wibe**, **A.** and **Mustaparta, H.** (1996) *Encoding of plant odours by receptor neurons in the pine weevil (*Hylobius abietis*) studied by linked gas chromatography–electrophysiology*. J. Comp. Physiol. A, 179, 331– 344.

*Accepted January 26, 2004*